By Laurianne PINLOCHE, DO, MSc, PhD
CEESO Lyon, COME
And Thibault GRALL, DO, MSc, MPhil
ISOstéo Lyon, COME

### Background:

In the critical transition that osteopathy is going through, trying to link traditional models, theories, practices with insights of research and an ever-growing demand of practitioners themselves, teachers in osteopathy are pushed around (1), trying to find the best way to make co-exist traditional and modern models, their personal skills and internal educational policies (2).

If literature begins to be substantial concerning osteopaths' attitudes, skills and utilisation of Evidence-Based Practice (EBP), with the use of EBASE survey (3–6), a very few studies were located in France (7) and concerned the specific population of osteopath who teach, (only one study not yet published at our knowledge (8)). Despite this lack of literature, all studies reported a high interest of the respondents for EBP and a moderate self-declared skill in it. In the perspectives are mentioned the competencies improvement and implementation in educational program.

Education in osteopathy stay divided like the profession and is looking for consensus. It may explain why the "Five Osteopathic models" promoted by the WHO report (9), seemed to be adopt by training institutions, but no study that we know observe the actual use of these model in education and consultation, while they are subject of many articles for several years (10–13) confronting them with EBP.

The purpose of this study was to identify by a survey who are French osteopaths who teach, their level of skill and use of EBP and/or osteopathic models in their own practice and in education, and how do they do it.

# Methods:

An online survey was designed with 17 closed-ended questions and 4 open-ended questions. After generating an initial draft, content and face validity was ascertained by a group of 6 experts (Scale Content Validity Ratio of 0.63 and Scale Content Validity Index of 0.67). Adjustments were made based on their comments to improve the questionnaire and its validity above 0.7.

By Laurianne PINLOCHE, DO, MSc, PhD and Thibault GRALL, DO, MSc, MPhil

The questionnaire was anonymous and respected European security data policy. No personal data was acquired. Information was given to the participant in the first page of the survey and their agreement is required before starting to fill out the questionnaire.

The survey was administrated by Google form, link sending directly to French approved osteopathy schools and broadcasted through social networks from October 20, 2023, to December 3, 2023.

The target population was the French osteopaths who also teach in school of osteopathy. A necessary sample size of 148 respondents was calculated for an estimated population of 1500 (confidence level 80% - risk of error 5%).

The questionnaire was designed so that it cannot be completed if some answers were missing, to avoid missing data. No observations were deleted.

All collected data was secured on the author's personal computer and was fingerprint and password protected.

All design-based analysis were performed using Microsoft Excel and XLSTAT software. Data were described using frequency distributions and percentages. Association between ordinal variables were examined by Kendal tau. Association between nominal variables were examined by Khi² test or a Fisher test, intensity of the relation between variables were assessed using Cramer's V. Associations were interpreted as weak (0.10-0.29), moderate (0.30-0.49) or strong (>0.50). The level of significance was set at p < 0.05.

### Results:

160 French osteopaths and teachers answered to this survey. Most of the responder were between 22 and 45 years old (38.8% between 22 to 35 years, the same between 36 to 45 years), 15.6% were between 46 and 55 years old and 6.9% are older than 55 years. They have been teaching for less than 5 years for 33.1% of them, between 5 to 10 years for 28.7%, between 10 to 20 years for 29.4% and more than 20 years for 8.8%. They were intervening in 15 different French schools of osteopathy. Concerning their field of intervention, 75% of them are clinical supervisors, 61.9% intervene in practical osteopathic field, 48.1% are thesis director, lecturer, or defence jury. Between 21.3 to 28.1% were concerned about the teaching of fundamental sciences (like physiology or anatomy), osteopathic reasoning or competencies. Less than 15% were concerned about semiology or methodology teaching.

By Laurianne PINLOCHE, DO, MSc, PhD and Thibault GRALL, DO, MSc, MPhil

Table 1: EBP self-declared level of knowledge, use in osteopathy practice and teaching (% of total respondents)

EBP	Not	Aware	Qualified	Proficiency	Expert
	concerned				
Level of	11.3	28.7	31.9	22.5	5.6
knowledge					
Use in	5.6	25	38.1	25.6	5.6
practice					
Use in	4.4	18.1	42.5	30	5
teaching					

Among the respondents, more than 50% acquired knowledge on the term of EBP by personal research or by discussing with colleagues. Less than 20% heard it in their initial osteopathy cursus.

Table 2: WHO models self-declared level of knowledge, use in osteopathy practice and teaching (% of total respondents)

Models	Not	Aware	Qualified	Proficiency	Expert
	concerned				
Level of	2.5	12.5	43.8	34.4	6.9
knowledge					
Use in	6.3	14.4	41.9	32.5	5
practice					
Use in	4.4	16.9	38.7	33.1	6.9
teaching					

More than 50% of the respondents declared that could identify one or more "resource" person with a high degree of expertise in EBP and in models, whereas 20,6% declared none.

Table 3: Respondents opinion on consistency and compatibility of EBP with historic principles and models (% of total respondents)

Consistency	Not agree at	Not really	Somewhat	Completely
and	all	agree	agree	agree
compatibility				
of EBP with				
Historic	3.1	39.4	54.4	13.1
principles				
Models	1.3	30	63.1	5.6

By Laurianne PINLOCHE, DO, MSc, PhD and Thibault GRALL, DO, MSc, MPhil

Table 4: Association and intensity of the relation between variables (from very light grey: no association to dark grey: association with strong intensity)

	Age	Diploma	Teaching seniority	Consultation activity	EBP knowledge	EBP use in practice	EBP use in teaching	Opinion: compatibility EBP/historic principles	Models knowledge	Models use in practice	Models use in teaching	Opinion: consistency EBP/models
Age												
Diploma												
Teaching seniority												
Consultation activity												
EBP knowledge												
EBP use in practice												
EBP use in teaching												
Opinion: compatibility historic principles/EBP												
Models knowledge												
Models use in practice												
Models use in teaching												
Opinion: consistency EBP/models												

By Laurianne PINLOCHE, DO, MSc, PhD and Thibault GRALL, DO, MSc, MPhil

## **Conclusion:**

Respondents of the survey match to usual respondents of online survey addressed to osteopaths by age, self-employed activity and moderate self-assessed skill in EBP (7,14). Age, teaching seniority or diploma doesn't modulate their skills and uses of EBP and models except for diploma which demonstrates a moderate relationship with items related to EBP. Logically, those who believes they have solid skills in EBP and/or models, used it in their practice and their teaching. If an association existed between knowledge and uses of EBP and Models, it's a low intensity relationship. Surprisingly, skills and uses in EBP and Models seems to not be related to respondents' opinion on compatibility and consistency between these two frameworks.

Like every study, this has some bias like selection bias or Dunning Kruger effect.

More in-depth analyses will be provided on present data: for example on the impact of the teaching's field on teacher's knowledge and opinion - and especially on the verbatim of open-end questions, which can bring elements to the actual work of how to integrate sciences new insight in a traditional education (15–17)

Furthermore, next studies should questions on competencies attended from osteopaths who teach, and continuing education provided to them like it could be done in the health care system (18).

## References:

- 1. Sommerfeld P. Whose values are we teaching? Deconstructing responsibilities and duties of teachers of osteopathy. Int J Osteopath Med. 2008 Sep 1;11(3):96–101.
- 2. Kasiri-Martino H, Bright P. Osteopathic educators' attitudes towards osteopathic principles and their application in clinical practice: A qualitative inquiry. Man Ther. 2016 Feb 1;21:233–40.
- 3. Leach MJ, Sundberg T, Fryer G, Austin P, Thomson OP, Adams J. An investigation of Australian osteopaths' attitudes, skills and utilisation of evidence-based practice: a national cross-sectional survey. BMC Health Serv Res. 2019 Jul 17;19(1):498.
- 4. Sundberg T, Leach MJ, Thomson OP, Austin P, Fryer G, Adams J. Attitudes, skills and use of evidence-based practice among UK osteopaths: a national cross-sectional survey. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2018 Dec 8;19(1):439.
- 5. Cerritelli F, Iacopini A, Galli M, Thomson OP, Sundberg T, Leach MJ, et al. Evidence-based practice among Italian osteopaths: a national cross-sectional survey. BMC Complement Med Ther. 2021 Oct 7;21(1):252.

- Investigation of French osteopathic teachers' skill and use of Evidence-Based-Practice and models: a national cross-sectional survey
  - By Laurianne PINLOCHE, DO, MSc, PhD and Thibault GRALL, DO, MSc, MPhil
- 6. Albisser A, Schweinhardt P, Bussières A, Baechler M. Self-reported attitudes, skills and use of evidence-based practice among Swiss chiropractors: a national survey. Chiropr Man Ther. 2022 Dec 20;30(1):59.
- 7. Wagner A, Ménard M, Jacquot E, Marangelli G, Merdy O, Clouzeau C, et al. The profile of French osteopaths: A cross-sectional survey. Int J Osteopath Med. 2023 Sep 1;49:100672.
- 8. Mhadhbi H. Attitudes, skills, and use of evidence- based practice of French osteopaths who teach.
- 9. WHO benchmarks for training in osteopathy General Osteopathic Council [Internet]. [cited 2023 Dec 31]. Available from: https://www.osteopathy.org.uk/news-and-resources/document-library/research-and-surveys/who-benchmarks-for-training-in-osteopathy/
- 10. Ménard M, Draper-Rodi J, Merdy O, Wagner A, Tavernier P, Jacquot E, et al. Finding a way between osteopathic principles and evidence-based practices: Response to Esteves et al. Int J Osteopath Med. 2020 Sep 1;37:45–7.
- 11. Esteves JE, Zegarra-Parodi R, Dun P van, Cerritelli F, Vaucher P. Models and theoretical frameworks for osteopathic care A critical view and call for updates and research. Int J Osteopath Med. 2020 Mar 1;35:1–4.
- 12. Salmon M, Cretal A, Gonzales-Bandres M. Should person-centredness care be an affordable goal in French osteopathic education? Int J Osteopath Med. 2022 Mar 1;43:1–4.
- 13. Nesi J. Models and theoretical frameworks for osteopathic care A critical view from a nonregulated country. Int J Osteopath Med. 2020 Jun 1;36:62–3.
- 14. Ellwood J, Carnes D. An international profile of the practice of osteopaths: A systematic review of surveys. Int J Osteopath Med. 2021 Jun 1;40:14–21.
- 15. Vaughan B, Grace S, Gray B, Kleinbaum A. Engaging with evidence-based practice in the osteopathy clinical learning environment: A mixed methods pilot study. Int J Osteopath Med. 2019 Sep 1;33–34:52–8.
- 16. Fryer G. Teaching critical thinking in osteopathy Integrating craft knowledge and evidence-informed approaches. Int J Osteopath Med. 2008 Jun 1;11(2):56–61.
- 17. Castagna C, Consorti G, Turinetto M, Lunghi C. Osteopathic Models Integration Radar Plot: A Proposed Framework for Osteopathic Diagnostic Clinical Reasoning. J Chiropr Humanit. 2021 Dec 22;28:49–59.
- 18. Mikkonen K, Ojala T, Sjögren T, Piirainen A, Koskinen C, Koskinen M, et al. Competence areas of health science teachers A systematic review of quantitative studies. Nurse Educ Today. 2018 Nov 1;70:77–86.